

**Cheyenne HPA CN-3  
January 27, 2009 Meeting  
Participant Comments (C) / Questions (Q) and District Responses (R)**

**Q: Was the reported water use used to set the water use density values metered?**

R: Where metered data was available it was used. The rest was non-metered reported water use.

**Q: What does “water use density” mean?**

R: The “2-mile water use density” value for each section is the 1990-2000 average reported water use within the section, added to each section whose center is within 2 miles, then divided by the total area.

**Q: Don’t we need to know what water use density levels could be achievable?**

R:

**Q: Is it possible that reduced well pumping rates would change (affect) water use density values?**

R: It’s possible but we have nothing to compare the used numbers to. In discussing this issue, GMD staff suggested that more recent reported water use data be used as a comparison to the data used initially – just to see how metered use values and reduced pumpage due to reduced pumping rates might affect the 2-mile water use density values for this HPA. This was felt to be a good exercise.

**C: The HPA group should continue meeting but we need to find a way to get more involvement.**

R: Agreed. In discussing the issue more, the group suggested that GMD provide them a listing of all the stakeholders (land and water right owners) in the HPA so they could be contact as many stakeholders as possible. Once a respectable number of participants are committed, the group will set the next meeting and contact GMD to attend. It was felt that local involvement would be more successful.

Also in the discussion, GMD staff suggested a beginners hydrology lesson might dispel some of the common misconceptions about groundwater movement and what effect conservation will have on the area.

(NOTE: The above items were merely captured as comments/questions/discussion points. No final decisions or recommendations were made by the meeting participants regarding any of them.)